arXiv:0806.1803v1 [math.RA] 11 Jun 2008

# On isomorphism classes and invariants of low dimensional complex filiform Leibniz algebras (part 2)

I.S. Rakhimov[1], S.K. Said Husain[2]

Institute for Mathematical Research (INSPEM) & Department of Mathematics, FS, UPM, 43400, Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, (Malaysia)

[1] isamiddin@science.upm.edu.my & risamiddin@mail.ru
[2] skartini@science.upm.edu.my

**Abstract**

In [6], [7] an elementary method for obtaining a classification of complex filiform Leibniz algebras based on invariants was presented. The present paper is an implementation of this method in low dimensional cases. We give a complete classification of a subclass of complex filiform Leibniz algebras obtained from the naturally graded non-Lie filiform Leibniz algebras. It is known [5] that this class can be split into two subclasses. In this paper we shall consider the second one. We give a hypothetic formula for the adapted number of isomorphism classes.

**2000 MSC:** *17A32, 17B30.*
**Key-Words:** *filiform Leibniz algebra, invariant, isomorphism.*
[1]

## 1 Introduction

Leibniz algebra was first introduced in the early 90's of the last century by French mathematician J.-L.Loday as a non-associative algebra with multiplication satisfying the Leibniz identity:

$$[x[yz]] = [[xy]z] - [[xz]y].$$

This identity is equivalent to the classical Jacobi identity when the multiplication is skewsymmetric. Leibniz algebras appear to be related in a natural way to several topics such as differential geometry, homological algebra, classical algebraic topology , algebraic K-theory, loop spaces, noncommutative geometry, quantum physics etc., as a generalization of the corresponding applications of Lie algebras to these topics. Most papers concern to study of homological problems of Leibniz algebras. In [11] J.-L.Loday and T.Pirashvili have described the free Leibniz algebras, paper [13] by A.A.Mikhalev and U.U.Umirbaev is devoted to solution of the non-commutative analogue of the Jacobian conjecture in the affirmative for free Leibniz algebras , in the spirit of the corresponding result of C.Reutenauer [15], V.Shpilrain [16] and U.U.Umirbaev [17]. The problems concerning Cartan subalgebras and solvability were studied by Sh.A.Ayupov and B.A.Omirov (see, for instance, [2]). The notion of simple Leibniz algebras was suggested by S.Abdulkassymova and A.Dzhumadil'daev [1], who obtained some results concerning special cases of simple Leibniz algebras.

Unfortunately, up to now there is no paper including complete discussion on comparisons the structural theory of Lie and Leibniz algebras (one means results like Levi-Malcev decomposition theorem, Lie-Engel theorem, Malcev reduction theorem, the analogue of Killing form, Dinkin diagrams, root space decompositions, the Serre presentation, the theory of highest weight representations, the Weyl character formula and much more).

Papers [5], [6], [7] [3], [4], [8] and [14] can be considered as a certain progress towards a classification of nilpotent Leibniz algebras.

The present paper is devoted to the classification problem of a subclass of non-Lie complex filiform Leibniz algebras in low dimension cases.

[1] I.S.R. Corresponding author

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** An algebra $L$ over a field $K$ is called a *Leibniz algebra* if it satisfies the following Leibniz identity:

$$[x[yz]] = [[xy]z] - [[xz]y].$$

Let $LB_n(K)$ be a subvariety of $Alg_n(K)$ consisting of all $n$-dimensional Leibniz algebras over $K$. It is invariant under the isomorphic action of $GL_n(K)$ ("transport of structure"). Two algebras are isomorphic if and only if they belong to the same orbit under this action. As a subset of $Alg_n(K)$ the set $LB_n(K)$ is specified by the system of equations with respect to structural constants $\gamma_{ij}^k$:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} (\gamma_{jk}^l \gamma_{il}^m - \gamma_{ij}^l \gamma_{lk}^m + \gamma_{ik}^l \gamma_{lj}^m) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that if the multiplication in Leibniz algebra happens to be anticommutative then it is a Lie algebra. So Leibniz algebras are "noncommutative" generalization of Lie algebras. As for Lie algebras case they are well known and several classifications have been given, for instance see [10]. But unless simple Lie algebras the classification problem of all Lie algebras in general remains a big problem. Yu.I.Malcev [12] reduced the classification of solvable Lie algebras to the classification of nilpotent Lie algebras. Apparently the first non-trivial classification of some classes of low-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra are due to Umlauf. In his thesis [18] he presented the redundant list of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension less than seven. He gave also the list of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension less than ten admitting a so-called adapted basis (now, the nilpotent Lie algebras with this property are called *filiform Lie algebras*). It was shown by M.Vergne [19] the importance of filiform Lie algebras in the study of variety of nilpotent Lie algebra laws. Paper [9] concerns the classification problem of low dimensional filiform Lie algebras.

Further if it is not asserted additionally all algebras assumed to be over the field of complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$.

Let $L$ be a Leibniz algebra. We put: $L^1 = L, \quad L^{k+1} = [L^k, L], \ k \in N.$

**Definition 2.2.** A Leibniz algebra $L$ is said to be *nilpotent* if there exists an integer $s \in N$, such that

$$L^1 \supset L^2 \supset ... \supset L^s = \{0\}.$$

The smallest integer $s$ for that $L^s = 0$ is called *the nilindex* of $L$.

**Definition 2.3.** An $n$-dimensional Leibniz algebra $L$ is said to be *filiform* if $dim L^i = n - i$, where $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $Leib_n$ denote the class of all $n-$dimensional non-Lie filiform Leibniz algebras.

There are two sources to get a classification complex filiform Leibniz algebras. One of them is the naturally graded non-Lie filiform Leibniz algebras and another one is the naturally graded filiform Lie algebras. Here we deal with complex filiform Leibniz algebras obtained from the naturally graded non-Lie filiform Leibniz algebras.

**Theorem 2.1.** [5]. Let $L$ be an element of $Leib_{n+1}$. Then there exists a basis $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $L$ such that the structural constants of $L$ on this basis are defined by one of the following two forms:

a) (The first class) $\mu_1^{\overline{\alpha},\theta} :=$
$$\begin{cases} [e_0e_0] = e_2, & \\ [e_ie_0] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ [e_0e_1] = \alpha_3e_3 + \alpha_4e_4 + ... + \alpha_{n-1}e_{n-1} + \theta e_n, & \\ [e_je_1] = \alpha_3e_{j+2} + \alpha_4e_{j+3} + ... + \alpha_{n+1-j}e_n, & 1 \leq j \leq n-2 \end{cases}$$

b) (The second class) $\mu_2^{\overline{\beta},\gamma} :=$
$$\begin{cases} [e_0e_0] = e_2, & \\ [e_ie_0] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ [e_0e_1] = \beta_3e_3 + \beta_4e_4 + ... + \beta_ne_n, & \\ [e_1e_1] = \gamma e_n, & \\ [e_je_1] = \beta_3e_{j+2} + \beta_4e_{j+3} + ... + \beta_{n+1-j}e_n, & 2 \leq j \leq n-2 \end{cases}$$

Note that the algebras from the first and the second class never are isomorphic to each other. The classes we denote as $FLeib_{n+1}$ and $SLeib_{n+1}$, correspondingly. The basis is said to be "*adapted*".

In this paper we will deal with the second class of algebras of the above Theorem, the elements of $SLeib_{n+1}$ will be denoted as $L(\beta_3, \beta_4, ..., \beta_n, \gamma)$, meaning that they are defined by the parameters $\beta_3, \beta_4, ..., \beta_n, \gamma$.

# 3 On adapted changes of basis and Isomorphism criterion for $SLeib_{n+1}$

Here we simplify the isomorphic action of $GL_n$ ("transport of structure") on $SLeib_n$. The details of proofs can be found in [8].

**Definition 3.1.** Let $L \in SLeib_{n+1}$. A basis $\{e_0, e_1, ..., e_n\}$ of $L$ is said to be adapted if its multiplication table has the form $\mu_2^{\overline{\beta},\gamma}$.

Let $L$ be a Leibniz algebra defined on a vector space $V$ and $\{e_0, e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be the adapted basis of $L$.

**Definition 3.2.** The basis transformation $f \in GL(V)$ is said to be adapted for the structure of $L$ if the basis $\{f(e_0), f(e_1), ..., f(e_n)\}$ is adapted.

The closed subgroup of $GL(V)$ spanned by the adapted transformations is denoted by $GL_{ad}(V)$.

**Definition 3.3.** The following types of basis transformations of $SLeib_{n+1}$ are said to be elementary:

$$\text{first type} - \sigma(b,n) = \begin{cases} f(e_0) = e_0 \\ f(e_1) = e_1 + be_n, \\ f(e_{i+1}) = [f(e_i), f(e_0)], & 2 \le i \le n-1, \\ f(e_2) = [f(e_0), f(e_0)] \end{cases}$$

$$\text{second type} - \eta(a,k) = \begin{cases} f(e_0) = e_0 + ae_k \\ f(e_1) = e_1 \\ f(e_{i+1}) = [f(e_i), f(e_0)], & 2 \le i \le n-1,\ 2 \le k \le n, \\ f(e_2) = [f(e_0), f(e_0)] \end{cases}$$

$$\text{third type} - \delta(a,b,d) = \begin{cases} f(e_0) = ae_0 + be_1 \\ f(e_1) = de_1 - \frac{bd\gamma}{a}e_{n-1}, & ad \neq 0 \\ f(e_{i+1}) = [f(e_i), f(e_0)], & 2 \le i \le n-1, \\ f(e_2) = [f(e_0), f(e_0)] \end{cases}$$

where $a, b, d \in \mathbf{C}$.

**Proposition 3.1.** If $f$ is an adapted transformation of $SLeib_{n+1}$ then

$$f = \sigma(b_n, n) \circ \eta(a_n, n) \circ \eta(a_{n-1}, n-2) \circ ... \circ \eta(a_2, 2) \circ \delta(a_0, a_1, b_1)$$

**Proposition 3.2.** Transformations of the form $\sigma(a,n)$, $\eta(a,n)$ and $\eta(a,k)$, where $2 \le k \le n-2$, $a \in \mathbf{C}$ preserve the structural constants of $SLeib_{n+1}$.

Since superposition of adapted transformations is again an adapted transformation, the proposition above means that the transformation $\sigma(b_n, n) \circ \eta(a_n, n) \circ \eta(a_{n-1}, n-2) \circ ... \circ \eta(a_2, 2)$ does not change the structural constants of $SLeib_{n+1}$.

Thus the action of $GL_{ad}(V)$ on $SLeib_{n+1}$ can be reduced to the action of elementary transformation of the type three.

Let $R_a^m(x) := [[...[x, \underbrace{a], a], ..., a}_{m-times}]$, and $R_a^0(x) := x$.

Now due to Proposition 3.2 it is easy to see that for $SLeib_{n+1}$ the adapted change of basis has the form:

$$\begin{cases} e_0^{'} = Ae_0 + Be_1 \\ e_1^{'} = De_1 - \frac{BD\gamma}{A}e_{n-1}, \\ e_2^{'} = A(A+B)e_2 + AB(\alpha_3 e_3 + ... + \alpha_{n-1}) + B(A\beta_n + B\gamma)e_n, \\ e_k^{'} = A(\sum\limits_{i=0}^{k-2} C_{k-1}^{k-1-i} A^{k-1-i} B^i R_{e_1}^i(e_{k-i}) + B^{k-1} R_{e_1}^{k-1}(e_0)) \end{cases}$$

where, $3 \leq k \leq n$ and $A, D \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $AD \neq 0$.

Now we state the isomorphism criterion with respect to $GL_{ad}(V)$.

We introduce the following series of functions:

$$\psi_t(y;z) = \psi_t(y; z_3, z_4, ..., z_n, z_{n+1}) =$$
$$z_t - \sum_{k=3}^{t-1}(C_{k-1}^{k-2} y z_{t+2-k} + C_{k-1}^{k-3} y^2 \sum_{i_1=k+2}^{t} z_{t+3-i_1} \cdot z_{i_1+1-k} + C_{k-1}^{k-4} y^3 \sum_{i_2=k+3}^{t} \sum_{i_1=k+3}^{i_2} z_{t+3-i_2} \cdot z_{i_2+3-i_1} \cdot z_{i_1-k} + ... +$$
$$C_{k-1}^{1} y^{k-2} \sum_{i_{k-3}=2k-2}^{t} \sum_{i_{k-4}=2k-2}^{i_{k-3}} ... \sum_{i_1=2k-2}^{i_2} z_{t+3-i_{k-3}} \cdot z_{i_{k-3}+3-i_{k-4}} \cdot ... \cdot z_{i_2+3-i_1} \cdot z_{i_1+5-2k}$$
$$+ y^{k-1} \sum_{i_{k-2}=2k-1}^{t} \sum_{i_{k-3}=2k-1}^{i_{k-2}} ... \sum_{i_1=2k-1}^{i_2} z_{t+3-i_{k-2}} \cdot z_{i_{k-2}+3-i_{k-3}} \cdot ... \cdot z_{i_2+3-i_1} \cdot z_{i_1+4-2k}) \cdot \psi_k(y;z),$$

where $3 \leq t \leq n$,

$$\psi_{n+1}(y;z) = z_{n+1}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $SLeib_{n+1}$, where $\beta = (\beta_3, \beta_4, ..., \beta_n, \gamma)$, and $\beta' = (\beta_3', \beta_4', ..., \beta_n', \gamma')$, are isomorphic if and only if there exist complex numbers $A, B$ and $D$ such that $AD \neq 0$ and the following conditions hold:

$$\beta_t' = \frac{1}{A^{t-2}} \frac{D}{A} \psi_t(\frac{B}{A}; \beta), \tag{1}$$

$3 \leq t \leq n-1$,

$$\beta_n' = \tfrac{1}{A^{n-2}} \tfrac{D}{A} \tfrac{B}{A} \gamma + \psi_n(\tfrac{B}{A}; \beta), \tag{2}$$

and

$$\gamma' = \frac{1}{A^{n-2}} (\frac{D}{A})^2 \psi_{n+1}(\frac{A}{B}; \beta).$$

To simplify notation let us agree that in the above case for transition from $(n+1)$-dimensional filiform Leibniz algebra $L(\beta)$ to $(n+1)$-dimensional filiform Leibniz algebra $L(\beta')$ we write $\beta' = \varrho(\frac{1}{A}, \frac{B}{A}, \frac{D}{A}; \beta))$ :

$$\varrho(\tfrac{1}{A}, \tfrac{B}{A}, \tfrac{D}{A}; \beta) = (\varrho_1(\tfrac{1}{A}, \tfrac{B}{A}, \tfrac{D}{A}; \beta), \varrho_2(\tfrac{1}{A}, \tfrac{B}{A}, \tfrac{D}{A}; \beta), ..., \varrho_{n-1}(\tfrac{1}{A}, \tfrac{B}{A}, \tfrac{D}{A}; \beta)),$$

where

$$\varrho_t(x, y, u; z) = x^{t-1} u \psi_{t+2}(y; z) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq t \leq n-2,$$

and

$$\varrho_{n-1}(x, y, u; z) = x^{n-5} u^2 \psi_{n+1}(y; z).$$

Here are the main properties, used in this paper, of the operator $\varrho$:

$1^0$. $\varrho(1, 0, 1; \cdot))$ is the identity operator.

$2^0$. $\varrho(\frac{1}{A_2}, \frac{B_2}{A_2}, \frac{D_2}{A_2}; \varrho(\frac{1}{A_1}, \frac{B_1}{A_1}, \frac{D_1}{A_1}; \beta)) = \varrho(\frac{1}{A_1 A_2}, \frac{B_1 A_2 + B_2 D_1}{A_1 A_2}, \frac{D_1 D_2}{A_1 A_2}; \beta)$

$3^0$ If $\beta' = \varrho(\frac{1}{A}, \frac{B}{A}, \frac{D}{A}; \beta)$ then $\beta = \varrho(A, -\frac{B}{D}, \frac{A}{D}; \beta')$.

From here on $n$ is a positive integer. We assume that $n \geq 4$ since there are complete classifications of complex nilpotent Leibniz algebras of dimension at most four [**?**].

In our investigation we proceed from the viewpoint of [7]. Let $N_n$ stands by the adapted number of isomorphism classes in $SLeib_n$. (i.e. the number of isomorphism classes on the adapted basis providing that each parametric family is considered as a one class).

# 4 Classification

For the simplification purpose we establish the following notations: let $\Lambda_1 = 4\beta_3\beta_5 - 5\beta_4^2$, $\Lambda_2 = 2\beta_3^2\beta_6 - 6\beta_3\beta_4\beta_5 + \beta_4\gamma + 4\beta_4^3$, $\Lambda_3 = 4\beta_3^2\beta_6 + 2\beta_4\gamma - 7\beta_4^3$, $\Lambda_4 = 4\beta_3^2\beta_6 - 7\beta_4^3$, $\Lambda_5 = \beta_3^2\beta_6 - 3\beta_3\beta_4\beta_5 + 2\beta_4^3$, $\Lambda_6 = 4\beta_3\beta_4\gamma + 8\beta_3^3\beta_7 - 28\beta_3^2\beta_4\beta_6 + 28\beta_4^4$, $\Lambda_7 = 4\beta_3\beta_4\gamma + 8\beta_3^3\beta_7 - 21\beta_4^4$ and the letters $\Lambda$ with " ' "denote the same expression depending on parameters $\beta_3', \beta_4', \beta_5', \beta_6', \beta_7'$ and $\gamma'$.

## 4.1 Dimension 5

In this section one considers $SLeib_5$. Consider the following representation of $SLeib_5$ as a disjoint union of its subsets:

$$SLeib_5 = U_1 \bigcup U_2 \bigcup U_3 \bigcup U_4 \bigcup F,$$

where

$U_1 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_5 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \gamma - 2\beta_3^2 \neq 0\}$,
$U_2 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_5 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \gamma - 2\beta_3^2 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0\}$,
$U_3 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_5 : \beta_3 = 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_4 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_5 : \beta_3 = 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_4 = 0\}$,
$F = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_5 : \beta_3 = 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0\}$.

**Proposition 4.1.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_1$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\gamma}{\beta_3^2} = \frac{\gamma'}{\beta_3'^2}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_1$ can be parameterized as $L(1, 0, \lambda)$.

**Proposition 4.2.**
a) Algebras from $U_2$ are isomorphic to $L(1, 1, 2)$;
b) Algebras from $U_3$ are isomorphic to $L(0, 0, 1)$;
c) Algebras from $U_4$ are isomorphic to $L(0, 1, 0)$;
d) Algebras from $F$ are isomorphic to $L(0, 0, 0)$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $L$ be a non-Lie complex filiform Leibniz algebra in $SLeib_5$. Then it is isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic Leibniz algebras:

1) $L(1, 0, \lambda)$ :
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 3, \quad e_0e_1 = e_3, \quad e_1e_1 = \lambda e_4, \quad e_2e_1 = e_4, \quad \lambda \in C.$$

2) $L(1, 1, 2)$ :
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 3, \quad e_0e_1 = e_3 + e_4, \quad e_1e_1 = 2e_4, \quad e_2e_1 = e_4.$$

3) $L(0, 0, 1)$ :
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 3, \quad e_1e_1 = e_4.$$

4) $L(0, 1, 0)$ :

$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 3, \quad e_0e_1 = e_4.$$

5) $L(0,0,0)$ :
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 3.$$

The adapted number of isomorphism classes $N_5$=5.

# 5 Dimension 6

This section is devoted to six dimensional case.
The class $SLeib_6$ can be represented as a disjoint union of several open and closed subsets:
$SLeib_6 = U_1 \bigcup U_2 \bigcup U_3 \bigcup U_4 \bigcup U_5 \bigcup U_6 \bigcup U_7 \bigcup U_8 \bigcup F$,
where
$U_1 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_6 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_2 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_6 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \gamma = 0, \Lambda_1 \neq 0\}$,
$U_3 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_6 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \gamma = 0, \Lambda_1 = 0\}$,
$U_4 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_6 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_5 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_6 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_5 \neq 0\}$,
$U_6 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_6 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_5 = 0\}$,
$U_7 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_6 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_8 = \{L(\alpha) \in SLeib_6 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_5 \neq 0\}$,
$F = \{L(\alpha) \in SLeib_6 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_5 = 0\}$.

**Proposition 5.1.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_1$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{2\beta_3\beta_4\gamma + \beta_3^2\Lambda_1}{\gamma^2} = \frac{2\beta'_3\beta'_4\gamma' + \beta'^2_3\Lambda'_1}{\gamma'^2}.$$

Thus the set $U_1$ can be parameterized as $L(1,0,\lambda,1)$.

**Proposition 5.2.**
a) Algebras from $U_2$ are isomorphic to $L(1,0,1,0)$;
b) Algebras from $U_3$ are isomorphic to $L(1,0,0,0)$;
c) Algebras from $U_4$ are isomorphic to $L(0,1,0,1)$;
d) Algebras from $U_5$ are isomorphic to $L(0,1,1,0)$;
e) Algebras from $U_6$ are isomorphic to $L(0,1,0,0)$;
f) Algebras from $U_7$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,1)$;
g) Algebras from $U_8$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,1,0)$;
h) Algebras from $F$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,0)$.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $L$ be a non-Lie complex filiform Leibniz algebra in $SLeib_6$. Then it is isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic Leibniz algebras:

1) $L(1,0,\lambda,1)$ :
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 4, \quad e_0e_1 = e_3 + \lambda e_5, \quad e_1e_1 = e_5, \quad e_2e_1 = e_4,$$
$$e_3e_1 = e_5, \quad \lambda \in C.$$

2) $L(1,0,1,0)$ :
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 4, \quad e_0e_1 = e_3 + e_5, \quad e_2e_1 = e_4, \quad e_3e_1 = e_5.$$

3) $L(1,0,0,0)$ :
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 4, \quad e_0e_1 = e_3, \quad e_2e_1 = e_4, \quad e_3e_1 = e_5.$$

4) $L(0,1,0,1)$ :

$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \le i \le 4, \quad e_0e_1 = e_4, \quad e_1e_1 = e_5, \quad e_2e_1 = e_5.$$

5) $L(0,1,1,0)$
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \le i \le 4, \quad e_0e_1 = e_4 + e_5, \quad e_2e_1 = e_5.$$

6) $L(0,1,0,0):$
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \le i \le 4, \quad e_0e_1 = e_4, \quad e_2e_1 = e_5.$$

7) $L(0,0,0,1):$
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \le i \le 4, \quad e_1e_1 = e_5.$$

8) $L(0,0,1,0):$
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \le i \le 4, \quad e_0e_1 = e_5.$$

9) $L(0,0,0,0):$
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \le i \le 4.$$

The adapted number of isomorphism classes $N_6$=9.

# 6 Dimension 7

Consider the following decomposition of $SLeib_7$ into its disjoint subsets:

$$SLeib_7 = U_1 \bigcup U_2 \bigcup U_3 \bigcup U_4 \bigcup U_5 \bigcup U_6 \bigcup U_7 \bigcup U_8 \bigcup U_9 \bigcup U_{10} \bigcup U_{11} \bigcup U_{12} \bigcup U_{13} \bigcup U_{14} \bigcup F,$$

where

$U_1 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 \neq 0, \Lambda_2 \neq 0\}$,
$U_2 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 \neq 0, \Lambda_2 = 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_3 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 = 0, \Lambda_3 \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_4 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 \neq 0\}$,
$U_5 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 = 0, \gamma - 3\beta_4^2 \neq 0\}$,
$U_6 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 = 0, \Lambda_3 = 0, \gamma = 0, \Lambda_4 \neq 0\}$,
$U_7 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 = 0, \gamma - 3\beta_4^2 = 0\}$,
$U_8 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 \neq 0, \beta_6 \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_9 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 \neq 0, \beta_6 \neq 0, \gamma = 0\}$,
$U_{10} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 \neq 0, \beta_6 = 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_{11} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 \neq 0, \beta_6 = 0, \gamma = 0\}$,
$U_{12} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_{13} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 \neq 0, \gamma = 0\}$,
$U_{14} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 = 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$F = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_7 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 = 0, \gamma = 0\}$.

**Proposition 6.1.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_1$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\Lambda_1^3}{\Lambda_2^2} = \frac{\Lambda_1'^3}{\Lambda_2'^2}$$

$$\frac{\gamma\Lambda_1^2}{\Lambda_2^2} = \frac{\gamma'\Lambda_1'^2}{\Lambda_2'^2}$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_1$ can be parameterized as $L(1,0,\lambda_1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$.

**Proposition 6.2.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_2$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\gamma}{\Lambda_1} = \frac{\gamma'}{\Lambda_1'}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_2$ can be parameterized as $L(1,0,1,0,\lambda)$.

**Proposition 6.3.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_3$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\gamma^3}{\Lambda_1^2} = \frac{\gamma'^3}{\Lambda_1'^2}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_3$ can be parameterized as $L(1,0,0,\lambda,\lambda)$.

**Proposition 6.4.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_4$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\gamma}{\beta_4^2} = \frac{\gamma'}{\beta_4'^2}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_4$ can be parameterized as $L(0,1,1,0,\lambda)$.

**Proposition 6.5.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_5$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\gamma}{\beta_4^2} = \frac{\gamma'}{\beta_4'^2}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_5$ can be parameterized as $L(0,1,0,0,\lambda)$.

**Proposition 6.6.**
a) Algebras from $U_6$ are isomorphic to $L(1,0,0,1,0)$;
b) Algebras from $U_7$ are isomorphic to $L(0,1,0,1,3)$;
c) Algebras from $U_8$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,1,0,1)$;
d) Algebras from $U_9$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,1,1,0)$;
e) Algebras from $U_{10}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,1,1,1)$;
f) Algebras from $U_{11}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,1,0,0)$;
g) Algebras from $U_{12}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,0,1)$;
h) Algebras from $U_{13}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,1,0)$;
i) Algebras from $U_{14}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,1,1)$;
j) Algebras from $F$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,0,0)$.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let $L$ be non-Lie complex filiform Leibniz algebra in $SLeib_7$. Then it is isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic Leibniz algebras:

1) $L(1,0,\lambda_1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 5,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_3 + \lambda_1e_5 + \lambda_1e_6,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda_2e_6,$
$e_2e_1 = e_4 + \lambda_1e_6,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_5,\ \ e_4e_1 = e_6,\ \ \lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in C.$

2) $L(1,0,1,0,\lambda)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 5,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_3 + e_5,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda e_6,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_4 + e_6,$
$e_3e_1 = e_5,\ \ e_4e_1 = e_6,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

3) $L(1,0,0,\lambda,\lambda)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 5,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_3 + \lambda e_6,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda e_6,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_4,$
$e_3e_1 = e_5,\ \ e_4e_1 = e_6,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

4) $L(0,1,1,0,\lambda)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 5,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_4 + e_5,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda e_6,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_5 + e_6,$
$e_3e_1 = e_6,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

5) $L(0,1,0,0,\lambda)$ :

$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_0e_1 = e_4 + e_5 + e_6, \quad e_1e_1 = \lambda e_6,$
$e_2e_1 = e_5 + e_6, \quad e_3e_1 = e_6, \quad \lambda \in C.$

6) $L(1,0,0,1,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_0e_1 = e_3 + e_4, \quad e_2e_1 = e_4, \quad e_3e_1 = e_6,$
$e_4e_1 = e_6.$

7) $L(0,1,0,1,3)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_0e_1 = e_4 + e_6, \quad e_1e_1 = 3e_6, \quad e_2e_1 = e_5,$
$e_3e_1 = e_6.$

8) $L(0,0,1,0,1)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_0e_1 = e_5, \quad e_1e_1 = e_6, \quad e_2e_1 = e_6.$

9) $L(0,0,1,1,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_0e_1 = e_5 + e_6, \quad e_2e_1 = e_6.$

10) $L(0,0,1,1,1)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_0e_1 = e_5 + e_6, \quad e_1e_1 = e_6, \quad e_2e_1 = e_6.$

11) $L(0,0,1,0,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_0e_1 = e_5, \quad e_2e_1 = e_6.$

12) $L(0,0,0,0,1)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_1e_1 = e_6.$

13) $L(0,0,0,1,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_0e_1 = e_6.$

14) $L(0,0,0,1,1)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5, \quad e_0e_1 = e_6, \quad e_1e_1 = e_6.$

15) $L(0,0,0,0,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq 5.$

# 7 Dimension 8

$SLeib_8 = U_1 \bigcup U_2 \bigcup U_3 \bigcup U_4 \bigcup U_5 \bigcup U_6 \bigcup U_7 \bigcup U_8 \bigcup U_9 \bigcup U_{10} \bigcup U_{11} \bigcup U_{12} \bigcup U_{13} \bigcup$
$U_{14} \bigcup U_{15} \bigcup U_{16} \bigcup U_{17} \bigcup U_{18} \bigcup U_{19} \bigcup U_{20} \bigcup U_{21} \bigcup U_{22} \bigcup F,$

where

$U_1 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 \neq 0, \Lambda_5 \neq 0\},$
$U_2 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 \neq 0, \Lambda_5 = 0, \gamma \neq 0\},$
$U_3 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 \neq 0, \Lambda_5 = 0, \gamma = 0\},$
$U_4 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 = 0, \Lambda_4 \neq 0, \Lambda_6 \neq 0\},$
$U_5 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 = 0, \Lambda_4 \neq 0, \Lambda_6 = 0\},$
$U_6 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 \neq 0, \Lambda_1 = 0, \Lambda_4 = 0, \gamma \neq 0, \Lambda_7 \neq 0\},$
$U_7 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 \neq 0, \beta_6 \neq 0\},$
$U_8 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 \neq 0, \beta_6 = 0\},$
$U_9 = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0\},$
$U_{10} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 = 0, \gamma \neq 0\},$
$U_{11} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 \neq 0, \beta_6 \neq 0\},$
$U_{12} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 \neq 0, \beta_6 = 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_7 \neq 0\},$
$U_{13} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0, \beta_7 \neq 0\},$
$U_{14} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0, \beta_7 = 0\},$

$U_{15} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 \neq 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_7 \neq 0\}$,
$U_{16} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 \neq 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_7 = 0\}$,
$U_{17} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 = 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_7 \neq 0\}$,
$U_{18} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 \neq 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 = 0, \gamma = 0, \beta_7 = 0\}$,
$U_{19} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 \neq 0, \beta_6 = 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_{20} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 = 0, \beta_7 \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$U_{21} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 = 0, \beta_7 \neq 0, \gamma = 0\}$,
$U_{22} = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 = 0, \beta_7 = 0, \gamma \neq 0\}$,
$F = \{L(\beta) \in SLeib_8 : \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0, \beta_5 = 0, \beta_6 = 0, \beta_7 = 0, \gamma = 0\}$.

**Proposition 7.1.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_1$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\Lambda_1^3}{\Lambda_5^2} = \frac{\Lambda_1'^3}{\Lambda_5'^2}$$

$$\frac{\Lambda_1^4\left(\Lambda_7 - 28\beta_4\Lambda_5 - 14\beta_4^2\Lambda_1\right)}{\Lambda_5^4} = \frac{\Lambda_1'^4\left(\Lambda_7' - 28\beta_4'\Lambda_5' - 14\beta_4'^2\Lambda_1'\right)}{\Lambda_5'^4}$$

$$\frac{\beta_3\gamma\Lambda_1^3}{\Lambda_5^3} = \frac{\beta_3'\gamma'\Lambda_1'^3}{\Lambda_5'^3}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_1$ can be parameterized as $L(1, 0, \lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$.

**Proposition 7.2.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_2$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\Lambda_1^3}{\beta_3^2\gamma^2} = \frac{\Lambda_1'^3}{\beta_3'^2\gamma'^2}$$

$$\frac{\Lambda_1^4\left(\Lambda_7 - 14\beta_4^2\Lambda_1\right)}{\beta_3^4\gamma^4} = \frac{\Lambda_1'^4\left(\Lambda_7' - 14\beta_4'^2\Lambda_1'\right)}{\beta_3'^4\gamma'^4}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_2$ can be parameterized as $L(1, 0, \lambda_1, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_1)$.

**Proposition 7.3.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_3$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\Lambda_1^4\left(\Lambda_7 - 14\beta_4^2\Lambda_1 - 4\beta_3\beta_4\gamma\right)}{\Lambda_1^2} = \frac{\Lambda_1'^4\left(\Lambda_7' - 14\beta_4'^2\Lambda_1' - 4\beta_3'\beta_4'\gamma'\right)}{\Lambda_1'^2}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_3$ can be parameterized as $L(1, 0, 1, 0, \lambda, 0)$.

**Proposition 7.4.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_4$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\Lambda_4^4}{\Lambda_6^3} = \frac{\Lambda_4'^4}{\Lambda_6'^3}$$

$$\frac{\beta_3\gamma\Lambda_4^3}{\Lambda_6^3} = \frac{\beta_3'\gamma'\Lambda_4'^3}{\Lambda_6'^3}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_4$ can be parameterized as $L(1, 0, 0, \lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

**Proposition 7.5.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_5$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_3\gamma}{\Lambda_4} = \frac{\beta'_3\gamma'}{\Lambda'_4}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_5$ can be parameterized as $L(1,0,0,1,0,\lambda)$.

**Proposition 7.6.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_6$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_3^4\gamma^4}{\Lambda_7^3} = \frac{\beta_3'^4\gamma'^4}{\Lambda_7'^3}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_6$ can be parameterized as $L(1,0,0,0,\lambda,\lambda)$.

**Proposition 7.7.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_7$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_5\beta_6\gamma + 3\beta_4^2\beta_7 - 7\beta_4\beta_5^2\beta_6}{\beta_5^3} = \frac{\beta'_5\beta'_6\gamma' + 3\beta_4'^2\beta'_7 - 7\beta'_4\beta_5'^2\beta'_6}{\beta_5'^3}$$

$$\frac{\gamma}{\beta_4\beta_5} = \frac{\gamma'}{\beta'_4\beta'_5}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_7$ can be parameterized as $L(0,1,1,0,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$.

**Proposition 7.8.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_8$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_4\beta_5\gamma + 3\beta_4^2\beta_7 + 7\beta_4^2\beta_5^2}{\beta_5^3} = \frac{\beta'_4\beta'_5\gamma' + 3\beta_4'^2\beta'_7 + 7\beta_4'^2\beta_5'^2}{\beta_5'^3}$$

$$\frac{\gamma}{\beta_4\beta_5} = \frac{\gamma'}{\beta'_4\beta'_5}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_8$ can be parameterized as $L(0,1,1,-1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$.

**Proposition 7.9.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_9$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_4^3\left(\beta_6\gamma + 3\beta_4^2\beta_7\right)}{\gamma^3} = \frac{\beta_4'^3\left(\beta'_6\gamma' + 3\beta_4'^2\beta'_7\right)}{\gamma'^3}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_9$ can be parameterized as $L(0,1,0,0,\lambda,1)$.

**Proposition 7.10.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_{10}$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_4^5\beta_7 + \gamma^3}{\gamma^3} = \frac{\beta_4'^5\beta'_7 + \gamma'^3}{\gamma'^3}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_{10}$ can be parameterized as $L(0,1,0,-1,\lambda,1)$.

**Proposition 7.11.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_{11}$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_6\gamma}{\beta_5^3} = \frac{\beta'_6\gamma'}{\beta_5'^3}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_{11}$ can be parameterized as $L(0,0,1,1,0,\lambda)$.

**Proposition 7.12.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_{12}$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_7^3}{\beta_5^5} = \frac{\beta_7'^3}{\beta_5'^5}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_{12}$ can be parameterized as $L(0,0,1,0,\lambda,0)$.

**Proposition 7.13.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_{13}$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_6}{\gamma^2} = \frac{\beta_6'}{\gamma'^2}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_{13}$ can be parameterized as $L(0,0,0,1,0,\lambda)$.

**Proposition 7.14.** Two algebras $L(\beta)$ and $L(\beta')$ from $U_{14}$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_6}{\gamma^2} = \frac{\beta_6'}{\gamma'^2}.$$

Thus the algebras from the set $U_{14}$ can be parameterized as $L(0,0,0,1,1,\lambda)$.

**Proposition 7.15.**
a) Algebras from $U_{15}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,1,0,0,1,0)$;
b) Algebras from $U_{16}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,1,0,0,0,0)$;
c) Algebras from $U_{17}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,1,0,-1,1,0)$;
d) Algebras from $U_{18}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,1,0,-1,0,0)$;
e) Algebras from $U_{19}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,1,0,0,1)$;
f) Algebras from $U_{20}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,0,0,1)$;
g) Algebras from $U_{21}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,0,1,0)$;
h) Algebras from $U_{22}$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,0,1,1)$;
i) Algebras from $F$ are isomorphic to $L(0,0,0,0,0,0)$.

**Theorem 7.1.** Let $L$ be non-Lie complex filiform Leibniz algebra in $SLeib_8$. Then it is isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic Leibniz algebras:

1) $L(1,0,\lambda_1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2$, $e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}$, $2 \le i \le 6$, $e_0e_1 = e_3 + \lambda_1e_5 + \lambda_1e_6 + \lambda_2e_7$, $e_1e_1 = \lambda_3e_7$,
$e_2e_1 = e_4 + \lambda_1e_6 + \lambda_1e_7$, $e_3e_1 = e_5 + \lambda_1e_7$, $e_4e_1 = e_6$,
$e_5e_1 = e_7$, $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3 \in C$.

2) $L(1,0,\lambda_1,0,\lambda_2,\lambda_1)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2$, $e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}$, $2 \le i \le 6$, $e_0e_1 = e_3 + \lambda_1e_5 + \lambda_2e_7$, $e_1e_1 = \lambda_1e_7$,
$e_2e_1 = e_4 + \lambda_1e_6$, $e_3e_1 = e_5 + \lambda_1e_7$, $e_4e_1 = e_6$, $e_5e_1 = e_7$, $\lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in C$.

3) $L(1,0,1,0,\lambda,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2$, $e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}$, $2 \le i \le 6$, $e_0e_1 = e_3+e_5+\lambda e_7$, $e_2e_1 = e_4+e_6$, $e_3e_1 = e_5+e_7$,
$e_4e_1 = e_6$, $e_5e_1 = e_7$, $\lambda \in C$.

4) $L(1,0,0,\lambda_1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2$, $e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}$, $2 \le i \le 6$, $e_0e_1 = e_3 + \lambda_1e_6 + \lambda_1e_7$, $e_1e_1 = \lambda_2e_7$,
$e_2e_1 = e_4 + \lambda_1e_7$, $e_3e_1 = e_5$, $e_4e_1 = e_6$, $e_5e_1 = e_7$, $\lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in C$.

5) $L(1,0,0,1,0,\lambda)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2$, $e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}$, $2 \le i \le 6$, $e_0e_1 = e_3 + e_6$, $e_1e_1 = \lambda e_7$, $e_2e_1 = e_4 + e_7$,
$e_3e_1 = e_5$, $e_4e_1 = e_6$, $e_5e_1 = e_7$, $\lambda \in C$.

6) $L(1,0,0,0,\lambda,\lambda)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_3 + \lambda e_7,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_4,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_5,$
$e_4e_1 = e_6,\ \ e_5e_1 = e_7,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

7) $L(0,1,1,0,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_4 + e_5 + \lambda_1 e_7,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda_2 e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_5 + e_6,$
$e_3e_1 = e_6 + e_7,\ \ e_4e_1 = e_7,\ \ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in C.$

8) $L(0,1,1,-1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_4 + e_5 - e_6 + \lambda_1 e_7,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda_2 e_7,$
$e_2e_1 = e_5 + e_6 - e_7,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_6 + e_7,\ \ e_4e_1 = e_7,\ \ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in C.$

9) $L(0,1,0,0,\lambda,1)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_4 + \lambda e_7,\ \ e_1e_1 = e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_5,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_6,$
$e_4e_1 = e_7,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

10) $L(0,1,0,-1,\lambda,1)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_4 - e_6 + \lambda e_7,\ \ e_1e_1 = e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_5 - e_7,$
$e_3e_1 = e_6,\ \ e_4e_1 = e_7,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

11) $L(0,0,1,1,0,\lambda)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_5 + e_6,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_6 + e_7,$
$e_3e_1 = e_7,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

12) $L(0,0,1,0,\lambda,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_5 + \lambda e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_6,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_7,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

13) $L(0,0,0,1,0,\lambda)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_6,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_7,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

14) $L(0,0,0,1,1,\lambda)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_6 + e_7,\ \ e_1e_1 = \lambda e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_7,\ \ \lambda \in C.$

15) $L(0,1,0,0,1,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_4 + e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_5,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_6,\ \ e_4e_1 = e_7.$

16) $L(0,1,0,0,0,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_4,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_5,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_6,\ \ e_4e_1 = e_7.$

17) $L(0,1,0,-1,1,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_3 - e_6 + e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_5 - e_7,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_6,$
$e_4e_1 = e_7.$

18) $L(0,1,0,-1,0,0)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_4 - e_6,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_5 - e_7,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_6,\ \ e_4e_1 = e_7.$

19) $L(0,0,1,0,0,1)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_0e_1 = e_5,\ \ e_1e_1 = e_7,\ \ e_2e_1 = e_6,\ \ e_3e_1 = e_7.$

20) $L(0,0,0,0,0,1)$ :
$e_0e_0 = e_2,\ \ e_ie_0 = e_{i+1},\ \ 2 \le i \le 6,\ \ e_1e_1 = e_7.$

21) $L(0,0,0,0,1,0)$ :

$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \le i \le 6, \quad e_0e_1 = e_7.$$

22) $L(0,0,0,0,1,1)$ :
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \le i \le 6, \quad e_0e_1 = e_7, \quad e_1e_1 = e_7.$$

23) $L(0,0,0,0,0,0)$ :
$$e_0e_0 = e_2, \quad e_ie_0 = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \le i \le 6.$$

The adapted number of isomorphism classes $N_8$=23.

**Conjecture.** The adapted number of isomorphism classes $N_n$ of $n$-dimensional non-Lie complex filiform Leibniz algebras in $SLeib_n$ can be found by the formula:

$$N_n = n^2 - 7n + 15.$$

# 8 About method of classification

To classify $SLeib_n$ we split it into several subsets and classify the algebras from each of these subsets separately. The formula $n^2 - 7n + 15$ for the adapted number of isomorphism classes has a hypothetic character, but it is confirmed by our computations in dimension 9 as well.

It is a slightly tedious to check for the expressions given in the parametric family cases to be invariant by hand, but a computer can do it very efficiently. This procedure has been implemented in the computer programm Maple 10.

# References

[1] S.Abdukassymova, A.Dzhumadil'daev. Simple Leibniz algebras of rank 1. Abstracts Presented to the IX International Conference on the Representation Theory of Algebras.- Beijing, (2000), China, p. 17-18.

[2] S.Albeverio, Sh.A.Ayupov, B.A.Omirov. Cartan subalgebras and criterion of solvability of finite dimensional Leibniz algebras. Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universitat Bonn, preprint, 2004, 15p.

[3] Albeverio S., Omirov B.A., Rakhimov I.S. Varieties of Nilpotent Complex Leibniz Algebras of Dimensions less then five, Communication in Algebra. Vol. 33, 5, 2005, pp. 1575-1585.

[4] Albeverio S., Omirov B.A.,Rakhimov I.S. Classification of four-dimensional nilpotent complex Leibniz algebras. Extracta Math. 21(3) (2006), 197-210.

[5] Sh.A.Ayupov, B.A.Omirov, On some classes of nilpotent Leibniz algebras. // Sib. Math. J. (2001). V. 42, 1. 18-29.(in Russian)

[6] U. D.Bekbaev, I.S.Rakhimov. On classification of finite dimensional complex filiform Leibniz algebras (part 1).http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/, ArXiv:math. RA/01612805.(2006).

[7] U. D.Bekbaev, I.S.Rakhimov. On classification of finite dimensional complex filiform Leibniz algebras (part 2). http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/, arXiv:0704.3885v1 [math.RA] 30 Apr 2007.

[8] J.R.Gomez, B.A.Omirov. On classification of complex filiform Leibniz algebras. arXive:math/0612735 v1 [math.R.A.] 23 dec 2006.

[9] J.R.Gomez,A.Jimenez-Merchan, Y.Khakimdjanov, Low-dimensional filiform Lie Algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 130 (1998), 133-158.

[10] M.Goze, Y. Khakimdjanov, Nilpotent Lie algebras, Kluwers Academics Publishers, Dordrecht,1996

[11] J.-L.Loday, T.Pirashvili. Universal enveloping algebra of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology, Math. Ann. 296 91993), 139-158.

[12] A.Malcev. On Solvable Lie algebras,Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR, ser. Math., 9(1945), 329-356.

[13] A.A.Mikhalev, U.U.Umirbaev. Subalgebras of free Leibniz algebras, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), 435-446.

[14] I.S.Rakimov, S.K.Said Husain. On isomorphism classes and invariants of Low dimensional Complex filiform leibniz algebras (Part 1).http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/, arXiv:0710.0121 v1.[math RA] 1 Oct 2007.

[15] C.Reutenauer. Applications of a noncommutative Jacobian matrix, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 77 (1992), 169-181.

[16] V.Shpilran. On generators of $L/R^2$ Lie algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1993), 1039-1043.

[17] U.U.Umirbaev. Partial derevatives and endomorphisms of some relatively free Lie algebras (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 34 (1993), No. 6, 179-188.

[18] K.A.Umlauf, *Über* die Zusammmensetzung der endlichen continuierlichen Transformationsgrouppen insbesondere der Gruppen vom Range null, Thesis, Leipzig, 1891.

[19] M.Vergne, Cohomologie des algebres de Lie nilpotentes. Application *à* l'*é*tude de la vari*été* des alg*è*bres de Lie nilpotentes, Bull. Soc. Math. France 98(1970), 81-116.